

PURITY OF EQUIVALUED AFFINE SPRINGER FIBERS

MARK GORESKEY, ROBERT KOTTWITZ, AND ROBERT MACPHERSON

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a connected reductive group over k , and A a maximal torus in G . We write $\mathfrak{g}$ for the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{a}$ for $X_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$.

Let $F = k((\epsilon))$ be the field of formal Laurent series over k and let $\mathfrak{o} = k[[\epsilon]]$ be the subring of formal power series. We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of F . We write $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ for $G(F)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_k F$ respectively. Let $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and write $\mathbf{G}_y$ and $\mathfrak{g}_y$ for the associated (connected) parahoric subgroup and subalgebra respectively. For example, if $y = 0$, then $\mathbf{G}_y = G(\mathfrak{o})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_y = \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{o})$, while if y lies in the interior of an alcove, then $\mathbf{G}_y$ (resp., $\mathfrak{g}_y$) is an Iwahori subgroup (resp. subalgebra).

We write $\mathcal{F}_y$ for the k -ind-scheme $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_y$. When $y = 0$ for example, $\mathcal{F}_y$ is the affine Grassmannian $G(F)/G(\mathfrak{o})$. Any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ determines a closed subset

$$\mathcal{F}_y(u) := \{g \in \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_y : \text{Ad}(g^{-1})(u) \in \mathfrak{g}_y\}$$

of $\mathcal{F}_y$, called an affine Springer fiber. Affine Springer fibers were first studied by Kazhdan and Lusztig [KL88], who established some of their basic properties, one of which we will now recall.

Let T be a maximal F -torus in G , let $\mathfrak{t}$ be its Lie algebra (over F), and let A_T denote the maximal F -split torus in T . A regular element $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ is said to be *integral* if $\text{val } \lambda(u) \geq 0$ for every $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ (convention: $\text{val } 0 = +\infty$). Here we have denoted the value of the differential of λ on u simply by $\lambda(u)$, an especially abusive notation when k has characteristic p , since in that case the differential of λ is 0 whenever λ is divisible by p in $X^*(T)$. The centralizer $T(F)$ of u acts on $\mathcal{F}_y(u)$, and inside the centralizer we have the lattice $\Lambda := X_*(A_T)$, viewed as a subgroup of $T(F)$ by sending $\mu \in \Lambda$ to $\epsilon^\mu \in T(F)$. The lattice Λ acts freely on $\mathcal{F}_y(u)$, and Kazhdan and Lusztig showed that the quotient $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{F}_y(u)$ is a projective (usually singular) variety over k , non-empty if and only if u is integral, and they conjectured a formula for its dimension, later proved by Bezrukavnikov [Bez96].

In order to state the main result of this paper, we need two more definitions. We normalize the valuation on $\bar{F}$ so that $\text{val } \epsilon = 1$. We say that regular $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ is *equivaled with valuation* $s \in \mathbf{Q}$ if $\text{val } \alpha(u) = s$ for every root α of T over $\bar{F}$ and $\text{val } \lambda(u) \geq s$ for every $\lambda \in X^*(T)$. (For adjoint groups G the second part of the condition is of course redundant.) When s plays no role, we say simply that u is *equivaled*.

Hessenberg varieties were introduced by De Mari, Procesi and Shayman [MPS92]. In § 1 below we define them in greater generality but still call them Hessenberg varieties. The ones in [MPS92] are non-empty and admit pavings by affine spaces, but this is not always true of the more general ones considered below (see 1.6 for the emptiness question). In any case Hessenberg varieties are certain very special non-singular closed subvarieties of (partial) flag manifolds. By a *Hessenberg paving*

The research of M.G. was supported in part by N.S.F. grants DMS-9900324 and DMS-0139986.
The research of R.K. was supported in part by N.S.F. grant DMS-0071971.

of an ind-scheme X we mean an exhaustive increasing filtration $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ of X by closed subschemes X_i such that each successive difference $X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ is a disjoint union of iterated affine space bundles over Hessenberg varieties.

Theorem 0.1. *Assume that the order of the absolute Weyl group W of G is invertible in k . Let u be a regular integral equivalued element of $\mathfrak{t}$. Then the affine Springer fiber $\mathcal{F}_y(u)$ admits a Hessenberg paving.*

For some tori T all the (nonempty) Hessenberg varieties turn out to be single points, in which case the Hessenberg paving becomes a paving by affine spaces. Before stating this as a precise theorem, we need to recall that (assuming $|W|$ is invertible in k) the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes of maximal F -tori in G are parametrized by conjugacy classes in W . (This well-known fact is reviewed in 4.2.) We say that T is *Coxeter* if the associated conjugacy class in W consists of Coxeter elements. Now consider the centralizer M of A_T in G . Then M is a Levi subgroup of G (hence is split over F) and contains T as an elliptic maximal F -torus. We say that T is *weakly Coxeter* in G if T is Coxeter in M . For example split maximal tori are weakly Coxeter, and all maximal tori in $GL(n)$ are weakly Coxeter.

Our Hessenberg pavings are obtained by intersecting the affine Springer fiber with the orbits of a parahoric subgroup that depends on T . In 3.8 we give a formula for the dimensions of these intersections. When the parahoric subgroup turns out to be an Iwahori subgroup, for example when T is weakly Coxeter, we get a paving by affine spaces, reminiscent of the one found by De Concini, Lusztig and Procesi [CLP88] for ordinary (that is, non-affine) Springer fibers, and coinciding with the one found by Lusztig and Smelt [LS91] in the case of homogeneous $u \in \mathfrak{t}$, with T a Coxeter torus in $GL(n)$. More precisely, we have the following theorem, in which the dimensions of the affine spaces mentioned in its statement can be determined using 3.8.

Theorem 0.2. *Assume that $|W|$ is invertible in k and that T is weakly Coxeter. Let u be a regular integral equivalued element of $\mathfrak{t}$. Then the affine Springer fiber $\mathcal{F}_y(u)$ admits a paving by affine spaces.*

It is worth noting that the equivalued hypothesis used here is weaker than the customary one of homogeneity. However, the homogeneity hypothesis has the virtue of guaranteeing the existence of a useful $\mathbf{G}_m$ -action on the affine Springer fiber, stemming from the automorphisms $\epsilon \mapsto z\epsilon$ of F ($z \in \mathbf{G}_m$). The work of Lusztig and Smelt has been generalized to homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{t}$ for Coxeter tori T by Fan [Fan96], Sage [Sag00] and Sommers [Som97]. Quite recently these results have been reconsidered in the context of Cherednik's double-affine Hecke algebras by Vasserot [Vas] and by Berest, Etingof and Ginzburg [BEG]. A homogeneous (weakly Coxeter) case for $GL(n)$ was analyzed by Laumon and Waldspurger [LW].

It is also worth noting that Hessenberg pavings which are not pavings by affines are plentiful for groups other than $GL(n)$. Indeed this occurs in the example of Bernstein and Kazhdan, discussed in the appendix to [KL88], in which one of the irreducible components of the affine Springer fiber for a certain kind of homogeneous element in $\mathfrak{sp}(6)$ admits a dominant map to an elliptic curve.

In the body of the paper we actually allow a more general set-up, with the role of $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ being played by vectors $v \in \mathbf{V} := V \otimes_k F$, where V is a finite dimensional representation of G over k , and with the role of $\mathfrak{g}_y$ being played by lattices $\mathbf{V}_{y,t}$ in $\mathbf{V}$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$) analogous to the Moy-Prasad [MP94] lattices $\mathfrak{g}_{y,t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. In this situation

there are (generalized) affine Springer fibers $\mathcal{F}_y(t, v)$ (see 3.1), having Hessenberg pavings under suitable hypotheses on t and v (see 3.2).

Thus, even in the traditional case $V = \mathfrak{g}$, in the body of the paper the parahoric subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_y$ occurring in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_y(u)$ is replaced by a Moy-Prasad lattice $\mathfrak{g}_{y,t}$, and we construct a Hessenberg paving of the (generalized) affine Springer fiber $\mathcal{F}_y(t, u)$ for any equivalued $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ with valuation $s \geq t$, again under the assumption that $|W|$ is invertible in k . The special case $t = 0$ yields the theorem stated earlier.

The main theorem of this paper yields purity results used to study orbital integrals in [GKM] and in a recent preprint of Laumon [Lau]. Indeed, suppose that G is defined and split over a subfield k_0 of k , and that T and u are defined over $k_0((\epsilon))$. Then our Hessenberg pavings are defined over some finite extension of k_0 in k . Moreover it is clear that when an ind-scheme S over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ admits a Hessenberg paving defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$, then all eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius on the Borel-Moore homology $H_i^{BM}(X, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ (with l prime to q) have complex absolute value $q^{i/2}$.

1. HESSENBERG VARIETIES

Throughout this section we consider finite dimensional representations V of G . For $\lambda \in X^*(A)$ we let V_λ denote the weight space $\{v \in V : av = \lambda(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}$.

1.1. Filtrations on representations V of G . Let $y \in \mathfrak{a}$. As usual y gives rise to an $\mathbf{R}$ -grading on V :

$$V = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbf{R}} V(y, t),$$

where $V(y, t)$ is the direct sum of the weight spaces V_λ for weights $\lambda \in X^*(A)$ such that $\lambda(y) = t$. We will also need the associated filtrations F_y^t on V , where the subspace $F_y^t V$ is by definition $\bigoplus_{t' \geq t} V(y, t')$. Clearly $F_y^t V \subset F_y^s V$ if $s \leq t$.

1.2. Unstable vectors. As usual in geometric invariant theory we say that a vector $v \in V$ is G -unstable if there exist $g \in G$, $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $t > 0$ such that $v \in g \cdot F_y^t V$.

1.3. Good vectors. We say that a vector $v \in V$ is G -good if there is no non-zero G -unstable vector $v^* \in V^*$ that vanishes identically on the subspace $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$ of V . (Here V^* denotes the vector space dual to V .) For example, any regular semisimple element in $\mathfrak{g}$ is G -good, at least if the characteristic of k is different from 2 (see 5.5).

1.4. Partial flag manifold $\mathcal{P}_y$. Let $y \in \mathfrak{a}$. As usual y determines a parabolic subgroup P_y of G whose Lie algebra is $F_y^0 \mathfrak{g}$. We write $\mathcal{P}_y$ for the homogeneous space G/P_y . The subspaces $F_y^t V$ are P_y -stable, so there is a G -equivariant vector bundle $E_y^t V$ over $\mathcal{P}_y$ whose fiber at $gP_y \in \mathcal{P}_y$ is equal to the subspace $gF_y^t V$ of V .

1.5. Hessenberg varieties. The vector bundle $E_y^t V$ is a subbundle of the constant vector bundle $\tilde{V}$ over $\mathcal{P}_y$ with fiber V , so we may consider the quotient vector bundle $\tilde{V}/E_y^t V$ on $\mathcal{P}_y$. Any vector $v \in V$ determines a global section of $\tilde{V}/E_y^t V$, and we define $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ to be the zero-set of this global section, a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{P}_y$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v) = \{g \in G/P_y : g^{-1}v \in F_y^t V\}$.

The map $Y \mapsto Y \cdot v$ from $\mathfrak{g}$ to V can be viewed as a constant map $\cdot v : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ of constant vector bundles. Over $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ the vector v lies in $E_y^t V$, so the map $\cdot v$ carries $E_y^0 \mathfrak{g}$ into $E_y^t V$, yielding an induced map

$$\cdot v : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/E_y^0 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{V}/E_y^t V.$$

The tangent bundle to $\mathcal{P}_y$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/E_y^0 \mathfrak{g}$, and it is easy to see that the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ at a point of $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ is equal to the kernel of $\cdot v : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/E_y^0 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{V}/E_y^t V$ on the fibers at that point.

Now suppose that v is a G -good vector and that $t \leq 0$, in which case we refer to $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ as a *Hessenberg variety*. We claim that any Hessenberg variety is non-singular and projective. Projectivity is clear. To prove non-singularity we will check that the global section v of $\tilde{V}/E_y^t V$ is transverse to the zero-section, or in other words that the map $\cdot v : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/E_y^0 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{V}/E_y^t V$ is surjective on fibers at each point $gP_y \in \mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$. To prove surjectivity at this point we must show that any $v^* \in V^*$ that annihilates both $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$ and $g \cdot F_y^t V$ is zero. Since v^* annihilates the second subspace and $t \leq 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $v^* \in g \cdot F_y^\delta V^*$, and therefore v^* is G -unstable. Since v is G -good, it follows that $v^* = 0$.

1.6. When are Hessenberg varieties empty? Hessenberg varieties are sometimes empty. Fortunately there is a fairly practical way to determine when this happens, as we will now see. With notation as above, choose a Borel subgroup B of G containing A and contained in P_y . The closed subvariety

$$Y := \{g \in G/B : g^{-1}v \in F_y^t V\}$$

of the flag manifold G/B obviously maps onto the Hessenberg variety $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$, and therefore it is enough to determine when Y is empty.

Now Y is empty precisely when its fundamental class in $H^\bullet(G/B, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ is 0 (where l is a prime that is non-zero in our ground field k). Recall that the $\mathbf{Q}_l$ -algebra $H^\bullet(G/B, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ is the quotient of the symmetric algebra $S^\bullet$ on $X^*(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}_l$ by the ideal I generated by the Weyl group invariant elements that are homogeneous of strictly positive degree.

It follows from the discussion in 1.5 that Y is obtained as the zero-set of a global section of the G -equivariant vector bundle on G/B obtained from the representation $V/F_y^t V$ of B , and that moreover this global section is transverse to the zero-section. Therefore the fundamental class of Y in $H^\bullet(G/B, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ is equal to the top Chern class of our vector bundle on G/B . Filtering $V/F_y^t V$ by a complete flag of B -stable subspaces, we see that our top Chern class is the image under $S^\bullet \rightarrow H^\bullet(G/B, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ of the element $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m$, where m is the dimension of $V/F_y^t V$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are the characters of A (with multiplicities) occurring in the B -module $V/F_y^t V$.

We conclude that our Hessenberg variety is empty if and only if $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m$ lies in the ideal I .

1.7. Some vector bundles over Hessenberg varieties in the graded case. The following constructions will be used to produce the Hessenberg pavings of section 3.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. We use x to define an $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ -grading

$$V = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}} V(x, s + \mathbf{Z})$$

on V , where

$$V(x, s + \mathbf{Z}) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} V(x, s - m),$$

with $V(x, s - m)$ as in 1.1. In this way $\mathfrak{g}$ itself becomes an $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra and V becomes an $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

We denote by H the subgroup of G generated by A and the root subgroups for all roots α of A in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\alpha(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then H is a connected reductive group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}(x, 0 + \mathbf{Z})$. The action of H on V preserves the $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ -grading of V .

Now let $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Since A is also a maximal torus in H , we may apply the construction in 1.1 to the representation $V(x, \mathbf{s})$ of H , where we have written $\mathbf{s}$ as an abbreviation for $s + \mathbf{Z}$. In this way we get a vector subbundle $E_y^t V(x, \mathbf{s})$ of the constant vector bundle $\tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{s})$ on $\mathcal{P}_y = H/P_y$.

Let us fix $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$, $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $v \in V(x, \mathbf{s})$. We assume further that $t \leq 0$ and that the image of v in V is a G -good vector (which implies that v is H -good in $V(x, \mathbf{s})$). Under these hypotheses we are going to define some vector bundles over the Hessenberg variety $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v) \subset \mathcal{P}_y = H/P_y$ associated to the vector v in the representation $V(x, \mathbf{s})$ of H .

The vector bundles depend on two additional real numbers r and t' . We write $\mathbf{r}$ for $r + \mathbf{Z}$, and we put $t'' := t + t'$. We assume that $t'' \leq 0$. The action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V induces a linear map

$$(1.7.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \otimes V(x, \mathbf{s}) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}).$$

This gives rise to a constant homomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \mathbf{r}) \otimes \tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{s}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s})$$

of constant vector bundles which carries $E_y^{t'} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \otimes E_y^t V(x, \mathbf{s})$ into $E_y^{t''} V(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s})$. Over the Hessenberg variety $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$ the global section v lies in the subbundle $E_y^t V(x, \mathbf{s})$. Therefore the map $Y \mapsto Y \cdot v$ induces a homomorphism

$$(1.7.2) \quad \cdot v : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \mathbf{r})/E_y^{t'} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s})/E_y^{t''} V(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}),$$

and in fact this homomorphism is surjective at every point of the Hessenberg variety, as one sees using the G -goodness of v and the fact that $t'' \leq 0$, just as in the proof of non-singularity of Hessenberg varieties. It follows that the kernel of the homomorphism, call it $K(x, y, r, s, t, t', v)$, is a vector bundle. Denoting by H_v the stabilizer of v in H (which of course acts on our Hessenberg variety), we have an exact sequence of H_v -equivariant vector bundles on the Hessenberg variety $\mathcal{P}_y(t, v)$:

$$0 \rightarrow K(x, y, r, s, t, t', v) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \mathbf{r})/E_y^{t'} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s})/E_y^{t''} V(x, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}) \rightarrow 0.$$

2. MOY-PRASAD GRADINGS AND FILTRATIONS

2.1. Moy-Prasad filtrations on representations V of G . As in the introduction we write $\mathbf{V}$ for $V \otimes_k F$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k F$, where $F = k((\epsilon))$. The subspace $V \otimes_k k[\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}]$ of $\mathbf{V}$ can be written as a direct sum of the subspaces $V_\lambda \epsilon^m$, where (λ, m) ranges through $X^*(A) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$.

Now suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. For $r \in \mathbf{R}$ we define a subspace $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ of $\mathbf{V}$ by

$$\mathbf{V}_x(r) = \bigoplus_{\lambda(x) + m = r} V_\lambda \epsilon^m.$$

(In other words the direct sum is taken over all $(\lambda, m) \in X^*(A) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lambda(x) + m = r$.) Thus we have

$$V \otimes_k k[\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}] = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{V}_x(r).$$

Now put $\mathbf{V}_{x,r} := \prod_{r' \geq r} \mathbf{V}_x(r')$. Clearly $\mathbf{V}_{x,r} \subset \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$ if $r \geq s$, so we have defined an $\mathbf{R}$ -filtration on $\mathbf{V}$. Put $\mathbf{V}_{x,r+} := \prod_{r' > r} \mathbf{V}_x(r')$. Thus we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,r+} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,r} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_x(r) \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{V}_{x,r+} = \mathbf{V}_{x,r+\delta}$ for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Taking $V = \mathfrak{g}$, we get $\mathfrak{g}_x(r)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$ are the lattices in $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by Moy-Prasad [MP94]. In this way $\mathfrak{g}$ becomes an $\mathbf{R}$ -filtered Lie algebra over k and $\mathbf{V}$ an $\mathbf{R}$ -filtered $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. In other words the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbf{V}$ induces linear maps

$$\mathfrak{g}_{x,r} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,r+s}$$

and

$$(2.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_x(r) \otimes \mathbf{V}_x(s) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_x(r+s).$$

Recall the subspace $V(x, \mathbf{r})$ of V defined in 1.7, where $\mathbf{r}$ denotes $r + \mathbf{Z}$, as before. We are now going to define a canonical linear isomorphism

$$(2.1.2) \quad i_{x,r} : \mathbf{V}_x(r) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{r}).$$

The space $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ is the direct sum of the spaces $V_\lambda \epsilon^m$ for λ, m such that $\lambda(x) + m = r$. For such λ, m define a linear isomorphism $V_\lambda \epsilon^m \rightarrow V_\lambda$ by sending $v \epsilon^m$ to v . The direct sum of these isomorphisms is the desired isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_x(r) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{r})$. Under these isomorphisms the linear map (2.1.1) goes over to the linear map (1.7.1).

2.2. Moy-Prasad subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$. We denote $G(F)$ by $\mathbf{G}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $r \geq 0$ Moy-Prasad [MP94] have defined a subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ of $\mathbf{G}$. We abbreviate $\mathbf{G}_{x,0}$ to $\mathbf{G}_x$, a parahoric subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Viewing $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ as a pro-algebraic group over k , its Lie algebra can be identified with $\mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$. The subgroups $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ are normal in $\mathbf{G}_x$. For $s \geq r$ we have $\mathbf{G}_{x,s} \subset \mathbf{G}_{x,r}$. We write $\mathbf{G}_{x,r+}$ for $\bigcup_{s > r} \mathbf{G}_{x,s}$, a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$; for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ $\mathbf{G}_{x,r+\delta}$ is equal to $\mathbf{G}_{x,r+}$. Put $\mathbf{G}_x(r) := \mathbf{G}_{x,r}/\mathbf{G}_{x,r+}$.

Let V be a representation of G and let s be a real number. Then the parahoric subgroup $\mathbf{G}_x$ preserves $\mathbf{V}_{x,s}$. Moreover, for every $r \geq 0$ the subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{V}_{x,s}/\mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}$. Finally, for $r > 0$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\xi_{x,r} : \mathbf{G}_x(r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_x(r),$$

and this isomorphism has the following property. Let $g \in \mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ and let $v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$. Then $gv - v$ lies in $\mathbf{V}_{x,r+s}$, and its image w in $\mathbf{V}_x(r+s)$ depends only on the image $\bar{g}$ of g in $\mathbf{G}_x(r)$ and the image v_s of v in $\mathbf{V}_x(s)$. The property of $\xi_{x,r}$ we are referring to is that

$$(2.2.1) \quad w = \xi_{x,r}(\bar{g}) \cdot v_s$$

(The right-hand side of this equation uses the map (2.1.1) induced by the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbf{V}$.)

2.3. More filtrations and vector bundles. We will now see that the affine set-up of 2.1, 2.2 produces Hessenberg varieties and vector bundles of the type studied in 1.7.

Suppose we have $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $r, t \in \mathbf{R}$. We define a subspace $\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$ of $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ by

$$\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r) := \text{im}[\mathbf{V}_{y,t} \cap \mathbf{V}_{x,r} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,r}/\mathbf{V}_{x,r+} = \mathbf{V}_x(r)].$$

Applying this construction to $V = \mathfrak{g}$ and $t = 0$, we get

$$\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r) := \text{im}[\mathfrak{g}_{y,0} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{x,r} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,r+} = \mathfrak{g}_x(r)].$$

Analogously, for $r \geq 0$ we have a subgroup

$$\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathbf{G}_x(r) := \text{im}[\mathbf{G}_y \cap \mathbf{G}_{x,r} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{x,r}/\mathbf{G}_{x,r+} = \mathbf{G}_x(r)],$$

and for $r > 0$ the subgroup $\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathbf{G}_x(r)$ goes over to $\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$ under the isomorphism $\xi_{x,r} : \mathbf{G}_x(r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$.

Put $H_0 := \mathbf{G}_x(0)$, a connected reductive group over k , and put $P = \mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathbf{G}_x(0)$, a parabolic subgroup of H_0 . Each space $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ is a representation of H_0 , and P preserves the subspace $\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$. Therefore, as in section 1, we may define an H_0 -equivariant vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$ over $\mathcal{P} := H_0/P$ whose fiber over hP is equal to $h\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$.

To see that these constructions are instances of ones made in 1.7, let us examine $\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$ more closely. This subspace of $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ is the direct sum of the spaces $V_\lambda \epsilon^m$ for (λ, m) such that $\lambda(x) + m = r$ and $\lambda(y) + m \geq t$. These two conditions can be rewritten as $\lambda(x) + m = r$ and $\lambda(y - x) \geq t - r$, which shows that under the isomorphism $i_{x,r}$ from $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ to $V(x, \mathbf{r})$, the subspace $\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$ goes over to $\mathbf{F}_{y-x}^{t-r} V(x, \mathbf{r})$. In particular, under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_x(r) = \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r})$, the subspace $\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$ goes over to $F_{y-x}^{-r} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r})$.

Note that H_0 can be identified with the group H defined in 1.7 (for the given $x \in \mathfrak{a}$), and that this identification is compatible with the identification $\mathfrak{g}_x(0) = \mathfrak{g}(x, 0)$ of their Lie algebras. Under this identification, the parabolic subgroup $P \subset H_0$ goes over to $P_{y-x} \subset H$, and the representation $\mathbf{V}_x(r)$ of H_0 goes over to the representation $V(x, \mathbf{r})$ of H . We may also identify $\mathcal{P} = H_0/P$ with $\mathcal{P}_{y-x}$, and then the vector bundles $\mathbf{E}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(r)$, $\mathbf{E}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$ go over to $E_{y-x}^{t-r} V(x, \mathbf{r})$, $E_{y-x}^{-r} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r})$ respectively.

3. GENERALIZED AFFINE SPRINGER FIBERS

3.1. Definition of generalized affine Springer fibers. Fix $y \in \mathfrak{a}$. We write $\mathcal{F}_y$ for the (partial) affine flag space $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_y$, an ind-scheme over k . For $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $v \in \mathbf{V}$ we define the generalized affine Springer fiber $\mathcal{F}_y(t, v) \subset \mathcal{F}_y$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_y(t, v) = \{g \in \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_y : g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t}\},$$

a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}_y$.

3.2. Hypotheses on t and v . We are going to analyze the structure of this generalized affine Springer fiber under the following hypotheses on t, v . We suppose that there exist $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $s \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

- (1) $s \geq t$,
- (2) $v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$, and
- (3) the image $\bar{v}$ of v under $\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_x(s) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{s}) \hookrightarrow V$ is a G -good vector in V .

In the third condition the map $\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_x(s)$ is the canonical projection and the map $\mathbf{V}_x(s) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{s})$ is the linear isomorphism $i_{x,s}$ defined in 2.1.

3.3. Intersection with orbits. In order to analyze $\mathcal{F}_y(t, v)$ we intersect it with the orbits of $\mathbf{G}_x$ in $\mathcal{F}_y$. The intersections will turn out to be iterated affine space bundles over Hessenberg varieties (and are therefore empty precisely when the Hessenberg variety is empty).

We first make the observation that it is enough to consider the orbit of the base-point in $\mathcal{F}_y$. Indeed, right multiplication by an element c in the normalizer of $A(F)$ in $G(F)$ induces an isomorphism from the intersection of $\mathcal{F}_{cy}(t, v)$ and the $\mathbf{G}_x$ -orbit of the base-point in $\mathcal{F}_{cy}$ to the intersection of $\mathcal{F}_y(t, v)$ and the $\mathbf{G}_x$ -orbit of c times the base-point in $\mathcal{F}_y$. (Recall that c acts on $\mathfrak{a}$ by an affine linear transformation; cy denotes the result of this action on y .)

The intersection of $\mathcal{F}_y(t, v)$ and the $\mathbf{G}_x$ -orbit of the base-point in $\mathcal{F}_y$ is equal to

$$S := \{g \in \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y) : g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t}\}.$$

3.4. Spaces $\tilde{S}_r$ and S_r . We must analyze S , and in order to do so we introduce some auxiliary spaces. For $r > 0$ put

$$\tilde{S}_r := \{g \in \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y) : g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}\}$$

and for $r \geq 0$ put

$$\tilde{S}_{r+} := \{g \in \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y) : g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,(s+r)+}\}.$$

Note that $\tilde{S}_{r+} = \tilde{S}_{r+\delta}$ for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Since $v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$ we have $g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$ for any $g \in \mathbf{G}_x$, and the condition $g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}$ can be thought of as the condition that the image of $g^{-1}v$ in $\mathbf{V}_{x,s}/\mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}$ lie in the subspace

$$\text{im}[\mathbf{V}_{y,t} \cap \mathbf{V}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,s}/\mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}].$$

Since $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ is normal in $\mathbf{G}_x$ and acts trivially on $\mathbf{V}_{x,s}/\mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}$, we see that $\tilde{S}_r$ (respectively, $\tilde{S}_{r+}$) is invariant under the left action of $\mathbf{G}_{x,r}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{G}_{x,r+}$), so that we may define quotient spaces

$$S_r := \mathbf{G}_{x,r} \backslash \tilde{S}_r$$

$$S_{r+} := \mathbf{G}_{x,r+} \backslash \tilde{S}_{r+}$$

Note that $S_{r+} = S_{r+\delta}$ for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Let $0 = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < \dots$ be the discrete set of values of $r \geq 0$ for which either $\mathbf{G}_x(r)$ or $\mathbf{V}_x(s+r)$ is non-trivial. We have inclusion maps

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{S}_{r_3} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_{r_2} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_{r_1}$$

and these induce maps

$$\dots \rightarrow S_{r_3} \rightarrow S_{r_2} \rightarrow S_{r_1}.$$

Note that $S_{r_j} = S$ for sufficiently large j , and that $S_{r_{i+1}} = S_{r_i+}$ for all $i \geq 0$ (in particular, $S_{r_1} = S_{0+}$). Therefore, in order to show that S is an iterated affine space bundle over a Hessenberg variety it is enough to show that S_{0+} is a Hessenberg variety and that for every $r > 0$ the map $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ is an affine space bundle. In fact we will prove a more precise statement. For each $r \geq 0$ we are going to construct a vector bundle E_r over the Hessenberg variety S_{0+} . It will turn out that E_0 is the tangent bundle to S_{0+} and that $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ is a torsor under the

vector bundle on S_r obtained by pulling back the vector bundle E_r by means of $S_r \rightarrow S_{0+}$. Thus the dimension of S is given by $\sum_{r \geq 0} \dim E_r$, the sum having only finitely many non-zero terms. However it is conceptually clearer to calculate the dimension slightly differently, as we will do in 3.8 below.

3.5. Analysis of S_{0+} . We now check that S_{0+} is a Hessenberg variety. We use the notation of 2.3. It is immediate from the definitions that

$$S_{0+} = \{g \in H_0/P : g^{-1}v_s \in \mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s)\}$$

where v_s denotes the image of v under the canonical projection $\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_x(s)$. It follows from the discussion in 2.3 that S_{0+} equals $\mathcal{P}_{y-x}(t-s, \bar{v})$, where $\bar{v}$ is the image of v_s under the isomorphism $i_{x,s} : \mathbf{V}_x(s) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{s})$. Since $t-s \leq 0$ and $\bar{v}$ is G -good by hypothesis, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_{y-x}(t-s, \bar{v})$ is a Hessenberg variety.

3.6. Some auxiliary bundles. Fix a real number $r > 0$. Before analyzing the fibers of $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$, we introduce some auxiliary bundles. Consider the map

$$p : \mathbf{G}_{x,r+} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{x,r} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x.$$

Since $\mathbf{G}_x(r) = \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$, it is clear that p is a principal $\mathfrak{g}_x(r)$ -bundle, or in other words a torsor under the constant vector bundle $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x(r)$ over $\mathbf{G}_{x,r} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x$ with fiber $\mathfrak{g}_x(r)$.

Next consider the map

$$q : \mathbf{G}_{x,r+} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{x,r} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y).$$

The target of q maps to

$$\mathbf{G}_{x,0+} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y) = H_0/P = \mathcal{P}.$$

On $\mathcal{P}$ we have the vector bundle $\mathbf{E}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$, and it is easy to see that q is a torsor under the quotient of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x(r)$ by the pullback of $\mathbf{E}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r)$.

3.7. Analysis of $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$. We continue with r and q as in 3.6. Of course S_{r+} is a closed subspace of the source of q and S_r is a closed subspace of the target of q , and it is the restriction of q to S_{r+} that gives the map $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ that we need to understand.

In order to see that $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ is a bundle, we begin by making the following simple calculation. Suppose that $g \in \mathbf{G}_x$ represents an element of S_r , so that $g^{-1}v \in \mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}$. Then g represents an element of S_{r+} if and only if the image of v is 0 in

$$\frac{g\mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,s+r}}{g\mathbf{V}_{y,t} + \mathbf{V}_{x,(s+r)+}} = \frac{\mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}{g\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}.$$

Suppose that we replace g by $g_r g$ with $g \in \mathbf{G}_{x,r}$. (In other words we are considering points in the fiber of q through the point represented by g .) Note that

$$g_r g \mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r) = g \mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r).$$

Since $v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$ we have $g_r^{-1}v \equiv v - \xi_{x,r}(g_r) \cdot v$ modulo $\mathbf{V}_{x,(r+s)+}$. Therefore the element of $\mathbf{V}_x(s+r)/g\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)$ obtained from $g_r g$ differs from the one obtained from g by the element $\xi_{x,r}(g_r) \cdot v_s \in \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)$, where v_s denotes the image of v in $\mathbf{V}_x(s)$. Therefore $g \in S_r$ lies in the image of S_{r+} if and only if the image of v in

$$\frac{\mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}{g\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}$$

lies in the image of

$$(3.7.1) \quad \cdot v_s : \mathfrak{g}_x(r) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}{g\mathbf{F}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)}.$$

Using the linear isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}_x(r) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{V}_x(s+r) \simeq V(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r})$ discussed at the end of 2.1, we can rewrite this last map as

$$(3.7.2) \quad \cdot \bar{v} : \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow V(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}) / \bar{g}F_{y-x}^{t-s-r} V(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}),$$

where $\bar{g}$ denotes the image of g in $\mathbf{G}_x(0) = H_0$. (Recall from 2.3 that H_0 can be identified with the group H defined in 1.7, and that $V(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r})$ is a representation of H .) Our hypotheses guarantee that $t - s - r < 0$ and that $\bar{v}$ is a G -good vector in V . It then follows from 1.7 that the map $\cdot \bar{v}$ above is surjective, and hence that $g \in S_r$ does lie in the image of S_{r+} .

Therefore $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ is surjective, and the analysis above (together with 3.6) shows that the fiber of $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ through the point in S_{r+} represented by $g \in \mathbf{G}_x$ is equal to the kernel of the map $\cdot \bar{v}$ above modulo $g\mathbf{F}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r) = \bar{g}F_{y-x}^{-r} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r})$. This shows that $S_{r+} \rightarrow S_r$ is a torsor under the vector bundle on S_r obtained by pulling back the vector bundle

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &:= \ker[\cdot v_s : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x(r) / \mathbf{E}_y^0 \mathfrak{g}_x(r) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_x(s+r) / \mathbf{E}_y^t \mathbf{V}_x(s+r)] \\ &= \ker[\cdot \bar{v} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \mathbf{r}) / E_{y-x}^{-r} \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}) / E_{y-x}^{t-s-r} V(x, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r})], \end{aligned}$$

the vector bundle denoted by $K(x, y - x, r, s, t - s, -r, \bar{v})$ in 1.7. Note that the vector bundle E_r is also defined for $r = 0$, in which case it coincides with the tangent bundle (see 1.5) of the Hessenberg variety $S_{0+} = \mathcal{P}_{y-x}(t - s, \bar{v})$.

3.8. Dimension of S . Now we are going to calculate the dimension of S . The group $\mathbf{G}_x$ acts on the vector space $\mathbf{V}_{x,s}$. Over $\mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y)$ we have the vector bundle whose fiber at $g \in \mathbf{G}_x / (\mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y)$ is the vector space $\mathbf{V}_{x,s} / (\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \cap g\mathbf{V}_{y,t})$, and the vector $v \in \mathbf{V}_{x,s}$ determines a section of this vector bundle, a section whose zero-set coincides with S , as follows immediately from the definitions.

In fact this section is transverse to the zero-section. In other words, the map

$$\cdot v : \mathfrak{g}_x \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{x,s} / (\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \cap g\mathbf{V}_{y,t})$$

is surjective for every $g \in \mathbf{G}_x$ that represents a point in S , as follows from the surjectivity of the maps (3.7.1). Transversality implies that

$$(3.8.1) \quad \dim(S) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_x / (\mathfrak{g}_x \cap \mathfrak{g}_y)) - \dim(\mathbf{V}_{x,s} / (\mathbf{V}_{x,s} \cap \mathbf{V}_{y,t})).$$

It is clear that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_x / (\mathfrak{g}_x \cap \mathfrak{g}_y))$ is the number of affine roots that are non-negative on x and strictly negative on y . In the important special case when $\mathbf{V} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $t = 0$, there is a similar expression for the last dimension appearing in equation (3.8.1), and we conclude that in this special case the dimension of S is the cardinality of

$$(3.8.2) \quad \{\text{affine roots } \tilde{\alpha} : 0 \leq \tilde{\alpha}(x) < s \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha}(y) < 0\}.$$

4. AFFINE SPRINGER FIBERS IN THE EQUIVALUED CASE

4.1. Additional hypothesis on k . Throughout this section we assume that the order of the Weyl group $W := N_G(A)/A$ of G is invertible in k .

4.2. $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy classes of maximal F -tori in G_F . We write G_F for the F -group obtained from G by extension of scalars from k to F , and as before we write $\mathbf{G}$ for $G(F)$. We need to review the well-known classification of $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy classes of maximal F -tori in G .

Let T be a maximal F -torus in G_F . Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of F and let F_s denote the separable closure of F in $\bar{F}$. Choose $h \in G(F_s)$ such that $T = hAh^{-1}$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma := \text{Gal}(F_s/F)$ put $x_\sigma = h^{-1}\sigma(h)$. Then $\sigma \mapsto x_\sigma$ is a 1-cocycle of Γ in $G(F_s)$, whose class in $H^1(F, N_G(A))$ we denote by $x(T)$. This construction yields a bijection from the set of $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy classes of maximal F -tori in G_F to the set

$$\ker[H^1(F, N_G(A)) \rightarrow H^1(F, G)].$$

The obvious surjection $N_G(A) \rightarrow W$ induces an injection

$$H^1(F, N_G(A)) \rightarrow H^1(F, W)$$

since for our particular field F it is known [Ser68] that $H^1(F, T')$ vanishes for every F -torus T' . Denoting by $y(T)$ the image of $x(T)$ in $H^1(F, W)$, we obtain an injection $T \mapsto y(T)$ from the set of $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy classes of maximal F -tori in G_F to $H^1(F, W)$.

In fact all elements of $H^1(F, W)$ arise in this way, and we will now review a proof of this fact, since for our purposes we need to produce an explicit maximal F -torus T for which $y(T)$ is a given element of $H^1(F, W)$.

4.3. Construction of T . We start with a 1-cocycle of Γ in W and are going to construct T such that $y(T)$ is the class of this 1-cocycle. Since the Galois action on W is trivial, the 1-cocycle is simply a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow W$.

For any integer l not divisible by the characteristic of k we let F_l denote the subfield of F_s obtained by adjoining an l -th root $\epsilon^{1/l}$ of ϵ to F , and we fix a primitive l -th root ζ_l of 1 in k . We do this in such a way that $\zeta_{lm}^m = \zeta_l$ for all positive integers l, m not divisible by the characteristic. Recall that $\text{Gal}(F_l/F)$ is cyclic of order l , generated by the automorphism τ_l sending $\epsilon^{1/l}$ to $\zeta_l \epsilon^{1/l}$.

Since $|W|$ is not divisible by the characteristic, the given homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow W$ comes from a homomorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(F_{|W|}/F) \rightarrow W$, and we denote by w the element $\varphi(\tau_{|W|}) \in W$. Choose an element $\dot{w} \in N_G(A)(k)$ of finite order such that $\dot{w} \mapsto w$. We denote by l the order of $\dot{w}$. Note that l is not divisible by the characteristic. Thus there is a homomorphism $\text{Gal}(F_l/F) \rightarrow N_G(A)(k)$ sending τ_l to $\dot{w}$, and this homomorphism lifts the given homomorphism $\text{Gal}(F_{|W|}/F) \rightarrow W$.

The element $\dot{w} \in G(k)$ is semisimple, so there exists a maximal torus A' in G such that $\dot{w} \in A'(k)$. We have lifted our 1-cocycle in W to a 1-cocycle in $N_G(A)$ that takes values in A' . In order to produce T we need to write this 1-cocycle as the coboundary of an element in $G(F_s)$.

But our 1-cocycle happens to take values in the split torus A' , so by Hilbert's Theorem 90, it must be possible to construct an element $b \in A'(F_l)$ such that $b^{-1}\tau_l(b) = \dot{w}$. To obtain an explicit element b , we note that since $\dot{w}$ is an l -torsion element in $A'(k)$, there exists $\mu \in X_*(A')$ such that $\mu(\zeta_l^{-1}) = \dot{w}$. Now put $b = \mu(\epsilon^{1/l})^{-1} \in A'(F_l)$; an easy calculation shows that $b^{-1}\tau_l(b) = \dot{w}$, as desired.

Define a maximal torus T by $T := bAb^{-1}$. Since $b^{-1}\tau_l(b) = \dot{w}$, it follows that T is defined over F and that $y(T)$ is the class in $H^1(F, W)$ we started with. This completes the explicit construction of T .

4.4. Comparison of two filtrations on $\mathfrak{t}$. The appropriate context here is that of apartments for tamely ramified tori in reductive groups over non-archimedean local fields (see [Adl98, Yu01] for example).

We continue with the maximal torus we just constructed. We use the maximal torus A' and the element $x := \mu/l \in X_*(A') \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ to obtain an $\mathbf{R}$ -filtration $\mathfrak{g}_{x,s}$ ($s \in \mathbf{R}$). It is one of the Moy-Prasad filtrations considered before, but for A' rather than A .

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ denote the Lie algebra of T , a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We want to understand the filtration on $\mathfrak{t}$ induced by the filtration $\mathfrak{g}_{x,s}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. To do so we first extend the filtration $\mathfrak{g}_{x,s}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to a filtration on $\mathfrak{g}(F_l) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_k F_l$ by putting

$$\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_x(s) := \prod_{(\lambda, m)} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^m$$

where the index set for the product is the set of $(\lambda, m) \in X^*(A') \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lambda(x) + (m/l) = s$, or equivalently $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle + m = sl$, and then putting

$$\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{x,s} := \prod_{s' \geq s} \mathfrak{g}(F_l)_x(s').$$

Similarly we extend the filtration $\mathfrak{g}_{0,s}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to a filtration on $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)$ by putting

$$\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_0(s) := \mathfrak{g} \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^m$$

for s of the form m/l for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and setting it equal to 0 otherwise, and then putting

$$\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{0,s} := \prod_{s' \geq s} \mathfrak{g}(F_l)_0(s').$$

The group $k^\times$ acts on F_l by automorphisms over k . We denote by $\tau_z \in \text{Aut}(F_l/k)$ the automorphism obtained from $z \in k^\times$; it sends $\epsilon^{1/l}$ to $z\epsilon^{1/l}$. This action induces an action $z \mapsto \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \tau_z$ of $k^\times$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)$, and we also denote this action by τ_z . Using μ , we get a new action τ'_z of $k^\times$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)$, for which $z \in k^\times$ acts by $\text{Ad}(\mu(z)) \circ \tau_z$. For the action τ'_z an element $z \in k^\times$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^m$ by $z^{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle + m}$, which shows that $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{x,s}$ is the product of the weight spaces for the τ'_z action for weights $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j \geq sl$. Similarly $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{0,s}$ is the product of the weight spaces for the τ_z action for weights $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j \geq sl$.

An easy calculation shows that

$$\tau'_z = \text{Ad}(b) \circ \tau_z \circ \text{Ad}(b)^{-1}.$$

Therefore $\text{Ad}(b)^{-1} \mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{x,s} = \mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{0,s}$. Moreover $b^{-1}Tb = A$. This shows that when we use $\text{Ad}(b)^{-1}$ to identify $\text{Lie}(T_{F_l})$ with $\text{Lie}(A_{F_l})$, the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{x,s} \cap \text{Lie}(T_{F_l})$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_0(s) \cap \text{Lie}(T_{F_l})$ go over to $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_{0,s} \cap \text{Lie}(A_{F_l})$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_0(s) \cap \text{Lie}(A_{F_l})$ respectively. Note that $\mathfrak{g}(F_l)_0(s) \cap \text{Lie}(A_{F_l})$ is $\text{Lie}(A) \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^m$ if $s = m/l$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and is 0 otherwise.

4.5. Equivalued elements. We continue with T as above. Let $\text{val} : F_l^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ be the valuation on F_l , normalized so that $\text{val}(\epsilon^{1/l}) = 1/l$. Let $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ and suppose that u is regular, so that $\alpha(u) \in F_l^\times$ for every root α of T (over $\bar{F}$). Suppose further that there exists $s \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $\text{val} \alpha(u) = s$ for every root α of T (over $\bar{F}$) and $\text{val} \lambda(u) \geq s$ for every $\lambda \in X^*(T)$, in which case we say that u is *equivalued* with valuation s . (Here we are using the convention that $\text{val} 0 = +\infty$.)

This hypothesis on u can also be rephrased as saying that $u' = \text{Ad}(b)^{-1}u \in \prod_{m \geq ls} \text{Lie}(A) \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^m$ and that the projection of u' on $\text{Lie}(A) \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^{ls}$ is of the form $u'' \cdot (\epsilon^{1/l})^{ls}$ with u'' regular in $\text{Lie}(A)$. It follows from our comparison of filtrations in 4.4 that $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,s}$ and that the image of u under

$$\mathfrak{g}_{x,s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_x(s) = \mathfrak{g}(x, \mathbf{s}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

namely u'' , is regular semisimple in $\mathfrak{g}$, hence is G -good in $\mathfrak{g}$ (see 1.3, noting that if W is non-trivial, its order is divisible by 2, so that characteristic 2 has been excluded).

A minor nuisance is that x lies in $X_*(A') \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ rather than $\mathfrak{a}$. We can correct this by replacing T, u by their conjugates under an element of $G(k)$ that takes A' to A . This replaces x by an element of $\mathfrak{a}$, which we will still call x . For this new x and for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ with $t \leq s$ we have shown that the three conditions of 3.2 are satisfied. We conclude that for any $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $t \leq s$ the intersection of the affine Springer fiber $\mathcal{F}_y(t, u)$ with any $\mathbf{G}_x$ -orbit on $\mathcal{P}_y$ is an iterated affine space bundle over a Hessenberg variety for $\mathbf{G}_x(0)$. (The Hessenberg variety depends on the orbit.)

4.6. Weakly Coxeter tori. For convenience we now assume that the maximal F -split torus A_T in T is contained in A , so that the centralizer M of A_T is a Levi subgroup of G (over k) containing A . We further assume that T is weakly Coxeter, so that w is a Coxeter element in W_M , the absolute Weyl group of A in M . Our lifting $\tilde{w}$ is a regular semisimple element in M by a result of Kostant [Kos59]. We are free to modify $\tilde{w}$ by any element in the center of M ; doing so we may choose $\tilde{w}$ so that it is regular in G , not just in M . Looking back at how x was defined, we see that x lies in the interior of an alcove. Thus $\mathbf{G}_x$ is an Iwahori subgroup, and the Hessenberg varieties we get are subvarieties of the flag manifold of the torus $\mathbf{G}_x(0)$ and hence have either 1 or 0 elements. Thus, for weakly Coxeter T our Hessenberg paving is a paving by affine spaces.

5. REGULAR SEMISIMPLE IMPLIES GOOD WHEN $p \neq 2$

In this section we fix a prime number p and assume that our algebraically closed ground field k has characteristic p .

5.1. Review of regularity in $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall from [Bor69, Prop. 11.8] that $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple if and only if it is contained in the Lie algebra of some maximal torus T of G , in which case it is clear that the centralizer $\mathfrak{g}_u$ of u in $\mathfrak{g}$ contains the Lie algebra of that maximal torus. A semisimple element $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *regular* if its centralizer is as small as possible, or, in other words, is equal to the Lie algebra of some maximal torus. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ the set of regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{g}$.

5.2. Non-emptiness of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$. Consider elements u in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of some fixed maximal torus T in G . Then u is regular if and only if $\alpha(u) \neq 0$ for every root α of T in G . By conjugacy of maximal tori, $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is non-empty if and only if the set of regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{t}$ is non-empty, and this happens if and only if no root of T vanishes identically on $\mathfrak{t}$. It even suffices to look only at simple roots, since any root is in the Weyl group orbit of a simple one. (A root vanishes identically on $\mathfrak{t}$ if and only if it is divisible by p in $X^*(T)$.)

If G is adjoint no simple root is divisible by p , and therefore $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is non-empty. On the other hand $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is in fact empty for $G = Sp_{2n}$ and $p = 2$. Since $\langle \alpha^\vee, \alpha \rangle = 2$, a root is never divisible by a prime other than 2; therefore $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is non-empty if $p \neq 2$.

5.3. Chevalley's restriction theorem. Chevalley's theorem states that so long as $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is non-empty, the restriction map from G -invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}$ to Weyl group invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{t}$ is an isomorphism. We refer to Springer and Steinberg [SS70, Section 3.17] for a proof that works in finite characteristic. (Springer and Steinberg assume that G is adjoint, but it is routine to adapt their proof to the more general case in which it is assumed only that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ is non-empty.)

5.4. Parallel results for $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, a representation of G . Since $\mathfrak{t}$ is canonically a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}$ (with complement the direct sum of the root subspaces for T), we may identify the dual $\mathfrak{t}^*$ of $\mathfrak{t}$ with a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ it is easy to see that the centralizer of λ in $\mathfrak{g}$ (for the coadjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$) is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{t}$ and the root subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for roots α of T such that $\lambda(H_\alpha) = 0$. Here $H_\alpha := \alpha^\vee(1)$, in other words, the image of the coroot $\alpha^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{t}$. An element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is said to be *regular* if its centralizer is as small as possible, or, in other words, is equal to $\mathfrak{t}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ the set of elements in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ whose coadjoint orbit contains a regular element of $\mathfrak{t}^*$.

Considerations parallel to those above show that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ is non-empty if $p \neq 2$ or if G is semisimple simply connected. On the other hand $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ is empty for $SO(2n+1)$ and $p = 2$.

Finally, it is routine to transpose the proof of Springer and Steinberg from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}^*$, where it yields the statement that if $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ is non-empty, then the restriction map from G -invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ to Weyl group invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is an isomorphism.

5.5. Goodness of elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$. Assume that both $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ are non-empty. Then Chevalley's restriction theorem holds for both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$, and it is therefore clear that there are no non-zero unstable vectors (in the sense of 1.2) in $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$. It follows easily that elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*$ are good vectors (in the sense of 1.3).

REFERENCES

- [Adl98] J. Adler, *Refined anisotropic K -types and supercuspidal representations*, Pacific J. Math. **185** (1998), 1–32.
- [BEG] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, and V. Ginzburg, *Finite dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras*, math.RT/0208138.
- [Bez96] R. Bezrukavnikov, *The dimension of the fixed point set on affine flag manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 185–189.
- [Bor69] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [CLP88] C. De Concini, G. Lusztig, and C. Procesi, *Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 15–34.
- [Fan96] C. Kenneth Fan, *Euler characteristic of certain affine flag varieties*, Transform. Groups **1** (1996), 35–39.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, *Homology of affine Springer fibers in the unramified case*, to appear in Duke Math. J.
- [KL88] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), 129–168.
- [Kos59] B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 973–1032.
- [Lau] G. Laumon, *Sur le lemme fondamental pour les groupes unitaires*, math.AG/0212245.

- [LW] G. Laumon and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Sur le lemme fondamental pour les groupes unitaires: le cas totalement ramifié et homogène*, math.AG/9901114.
- [LS91] G. Lusztig and J. M. Smelt, *Fixed point varieties on the space of lattices*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 213–218.
- [MP94] A. Moy and G. Prasad, *Unrefined minimal K -types for p -adic groups*, Invent. Math. **116** (1994), 393–408.
- [MPS92] F. De Mari, C. Procesi, and M. A. Shayman, *Hessenberg varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1992), 529–534.
- [Sag00] D. Sage, *The geometry of fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 2087–2119.
- [Ser68] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [Som97] E. Sommers, *A family of affine Weyl group representations*, Transform. Groups **2** (1997), 375–390.
- [SS70] T. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes*, Seminar in Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups, Lecture Notes in Math., 131, Springer Verlag, 1970, pp. 167–266.
- [Vas] E. Vasserot, *Induced and simple modules of double affine Hecke algebras*, math.RT/0207127.
- [Yu01] Jiu-Kang Yu, *Construction of tame supercuspidal representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 579–622.